UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:22-cr-00135-GMN-NJK
VS.)
MANUEL EDWIN MARTINEZ,	ORDER
Defendant.)
	<u> </u>

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R"), (ECF No. 83), of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, which recommends granting Defendant's motion to suppress his statement, ECF No. 37.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a *de novo* determination of those portions to which objections are made if the Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations concern matters that may not be finally determined by a magistrate judge. D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's R&R where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna—Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed. (*See* R&R, ECF No. 83) (setting a July 31, 2024, deadline for objections).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 83), is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Motion (ECF No. 37) to suppress his January 14, 2022 statement is GRANTED. Dated this 5 day of September, 2024. Gloria M Navarro, District Judge **United States District Court**